arXiv:1112.0670v1 [math.RA] 3 Dec 2011

Partial groupoid actions: globalization, Morita theory and Galois theory

Dirceu Bagio[1] **and Antonio Paques**[2]

[1]Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
E-mail: *bagio@smail.ufsm.br*

[2]Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
91509-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
E-mail: *paques@mat.ufrgs.br*

Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of a partial action of a groupoid on a ring as well as we give a criteria for the existence of a globalization of it. We construct a Morita context associated to a globalizable partial groupoid action and we introduce the notion of a partial Galois extension, which is related to the strictness of this context.

Key words and phrases: partial groupoid action, partial skew groupoid ring, partial Galois extension

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20L05, 16S99. Secondary 16W22, 18B40, 20N02.

Introduction

The notion of a partial groupoid action is introduced in this paper as a generalization of a partial group action [15, 12] as well as of a partial ordered groupoid action [7]. The notion of a partial group action was introduced by Exel in [15] and it was motivated by questions originated in the context of group actions on C^*-algebras (see, for instance, [3], [14] and [19]). A purely algebraic study of partial group actions was done in [12] and a corresponding Galois theory was developed in [13], stimulating further investigations by several others authors, see [11] for a more extensive bibliography.

Partial group actions can be easily obtained as restrictions of global ones, and this fact led to the important question on knowing under what conditions a given partial action is of this type. This question was first considered by Abadie [1] in the context of continuous partial group actions on topological spaces and $C^\star$-algebras. The algebraic version of globalization (or enveloping action) of a partial group action was given by Dokuchaev and Exel in [12]. A nice approach on the relevance of the relationship between partial and global group actions, in several branches of mathematics, can be seen in [11].

Groups are particular examples of groupoids (or ordered groupoids) and any partial group action has, as in the global case, a natural groupoid associated (see [2], [17] and [6]). Thus, it is natural to consider the study and the development of an algebraic theory on partial groupoid actions.

Partial ordered groupoid actions were introduced by Gilbert in [17] as ordered premorphisms and a study of them from an algebraic point of view was presented in [7].

We start this paper by introducing the formal definition of a partial action α of a groupoid G on a ring R (section 1) and the formal definition of a globalization of α as a global action β of G on a suitable ring T, satisfying some appropriate conditions (section 2). We also give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a globalization (section 2), generalizing the corresponding result of [12], as well as we prove that the partial skew groupoid ring $R \star_\alpha G$ (whose notion was introduced in [7]) and the (global) skew groupoid ring $T \star_\beta G$ are Morita equivalent, whenever the existence of (T, β) is ensured (section 3). This previous result and its proof are the versions for partial groupoid actions of the corresponding adaptations to a purely algebraic setting made in [12, Theorem 5.2] of [1, Theorem 3.3].

For the rest of the paper is assumed that α is globalizable, with globalization (T, β). In the section 4 we introduce the notion of the subring R^α of the elements of R that are invariant under the partial action α, we prove that the rings R^α and T^β are isomorphic, and we construct a Morita context relating the rings R^α and $R \star_\alpha G$. In the section 5 we present the notion of an α-partial Galois extension of R, associating to it the Morita context constructed in the section 4.

An action of a groupoid G on a K-algebra R (K being a subring of the center of R) naturally induces an structure of a KG-module algebra on R, in the sense of [9]. In the section 6 we briefly discuss the relationship between these two notions.

Throughout this paper by ring we mean a non-necessarily commutative and non-necessarily unital ring.

1. Partial actions of groupoids

In this section we will introduce the notion of a partial groupoid action, which is slightly more general than the notion of a partial ordered groupoid action given in [7]. We start recalling some notions and notations related to groupoids.

Groupoids are usually presented as small categories in which every morphism is invertible. But they can also be regarded, as algebraic structures, as a natural generalization of groups. We will adopt here the algebraic version of a groupoid given in [18]. A *groupoid* is a non-empty set G equipped with a partially defined binary operation, that we will denote by concatenation, for which the usual axioms of a group hold whenever they make sense, that is:

(i) For every $g, h, l \in G$, $g(hl)$ exists if and only if $(gh)l$ exists and in this case they are equal.

(ii) For every $g, h, l \in G$, $g(hl)$ exists if and only if gh and hl exist.

(iii) For each $g \in G$ there exist (unique) elements $d(g), r(g) \in G$ such that $gd(g)$ and $r(g)g$ exist and $gd(g) = g = r(g)g$.

(iv) For each $g \in G$ there exists an element $g^{-1} \in G$ such that $d(g) = g^{-1}g$ and $r(g) = gg^{-1}$.

It follows from this definition that the element g^{-1} is unique with the properties described in (iv) as well as that $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$, for every $g \in G$. Furthermore, for every $g, h \in G$, the element gh exists if and only if $d(g) = r(h)$ if and only if $h^{-1}g^{-1}$ exists and, in this case, we have $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$, $d(gh) = d(h)$ and $r(gh) = r(g)$. We will denote by G^2 the subset of the pairs $(g, h) \in G \times G$ such that $d(g) = r(h)$.

An element $e \in G$ is called an *identity* of G if $e = d(g) = r(g^{-1})$, for some $g \in G$. In this case e is called the *domain identity* of g and the *range identity* of g^{-1}. We will denote by G_0 the set of all identities of G. For any $e \in G_0$ we have $d(e) = r(e) = e$, $e^{-1} = e$ and we will denote by G_e the set of all $g \in G$ such that $d(g) = r(g) = e$. Clearly, G_e is a group called the *isotropy (or principal) group associated to* e.

A *partial action* α of a groupoid G on a ring R is a pair

$$\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G}),$$

where for each $g \in G$, $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of R, D_g is an ideal of $D_{r(g)}$ and

$\alpha_g : D_{g^{-1}} \longrightarrow D_g$ is an isomorphism of rings, and the following conditions hold:

(i) α_e is the identity map I_{D_e} of D_e,

(ii) $\alpha_h^{-1}(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) \subset D_{(gh)^{-1}}$,

(iii) $\alpha_g(\alpha_h(x)) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$, for every $x \in \alpha_h^{-1}(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h)$,

for all $e \in G_0$ and $(g, h) \in G^2$.

The domain (resp., range) of the composition $\alpha_g\alpha_h$ is, by definition, the largest domain (resp., range) where it makes sense, that is, the domain of $\alpha_g\alpha_h$ is given by $\text{dom}(\alpha_g\alpha_h) = \alpha_h^{-1}(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h)$ and its range by $\text{ran}(\alpha_g\alpha_h) = \alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h)$.

Note that, by the conditions (ii) and (iii), the map α_{gh} is an extension of the composition $\alpha_g\alpha_h$. We say that α is *global* if $\alpha_g\alpha_h = \alpha_{gh}$ for all $(g, h) \in G^2$.

Lemma 1.1 *Let* $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ *be a partial action of a groupoid* G *on a ring* R. *Then, the following statements hold:*

(i) α *is global if only if* $D_g = D_{r(g)}$ *for all* $g \in G$.

(ii) $\alpha_g^{-1} = \alpha_{g^{-1}}$, *for all* $g \in G$.

(iii) $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = D_g \cap D_{gh}$, *for all* $(g, h) \in G^2$.

Proof. Suppose that α is a global action. So, $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = \text{ran}(\alpha_g\alpha_h) = \text{ran}(\alpha_{gh}) = D_{gh}$, for all $(g, h) \in G^2$. In particular, $D_g = D_{r(g)}$, for all $g \in G$. Conversely, assume that $D_g = D_{r(g)}$ for all $g \in G$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{dom}(\alpha_g\alpha_h) & = & \alpha_h^{-1}(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = \alpha_h^{-1}(D_{d(g)} \cap D_{r(h)}) \\ & = & \alpha_h^{-1}(D_{r(h)}) = \alpha_h^{-1}(D_h) = D_{h^{-1}} \\ & = & D_{d(h)} = D_{d(gh)} = D_{(gh)^{-1}} \\ & = & \text{dom}(\alpha_{gh}). \end{array}$$

for all $(g, h) \in G^2$. Consequently, $\alpha_g\alpha_h = \alpha_{gh}$.

The assertion (ii) is an immediate consequence from the definition of a partial action. The last statement has a proof similar to that of [7, Corollary 2.2 (ii)]. □

Remark 1.2 It is immediate to see from the above that the pair $\alpha_{(e)} = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G_e}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G_e})$ is a partial action (in the sense of [12]) of the group G_e on the ring D_e, for every $e \in G_0$.

Example 1.3 We can obtain examples of partial action of a groupoid by restriction of a global action, in a standard way. Indeed, consider a global action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ of a groupoid G on a ring T and for each $e \in G_0$ let D_e be an ideal of E_e (for instance, if I is any ideal of T it suffices to take $D_e = I \cap E_e$). Then, $D_g = D_{r(g)} \cap \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$ is an ideal of $D_{r(g)}$ and $\alpha_g = \beta_g|_{D_{g^{-1}}}$ is an isomorphism of rings, for all $g \in G$. Now, take $R = \prod_{e\in G_0} D_e$ and, for each $e \in G_0$, let $\iota_e : D_e \to R$ denote the map given by $\iota_e(x) = (x_l)_{l\in G_0}$, with $x_e = x$ and $x_l = 0$ for all $l \neq e$. Setting $\iota_g = \iota_{r(g)}|_{D_g}$, $D'_g = \iota_g(D_g)$ and $\alpha'_g = \iota_g \alpha_g \iota_{g^{-1}}^{-1} : D'_{g^{-1}} \to D'_g$, it is immediate to verify that $\alpha' = (\{D'_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha'_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial action of G on R. If, in particular, each D_e, $e \in G_0$, is of the type $D_e = I \cap E_e$ for some ideal I of T, then $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is also a partial action of G on I (see [7, Example 2.6(2)]). We shall say that α' (resp., α) is a *restriction* of β to R (resp., I).

Now, take (in the above example) the ideal $\overline{E}_g = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(D_{d(h)})$ of E_g and set $\overline{\beta}_g = \beta_g|_{\overline{E}_{g^{-1}}}$, for each $g \in G$. Let $\overline{T} = \prod_{e\in G_0} \overline{E}_e$ and $\iota_e : \overline{E}_e \to \overline{T}$ be the map defined similarly as above, for every $e \in G_0$. Setting, $E'_g = \iota_{r(g)}(\overline{E}_g)$ and $\beta'_g = \iota_{r(g)} \overline{\beta}_g \iota_{d(g)}^{-1} : E'_{g^{-1}} \to E'_g$, one can easily see that $R \subseteq \overline{T}$, $D'_g \subseteq E'_g$ and $\beta' = (\{E'_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta'_g\}_{g\in G})$ is also a global action of G on $\overline{T}$, whose restriction to R also coincides with α'. We will see, in the next section, that any partial action $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ of a groupoid G on a ring R can be obtained in this way "up to some equivalence", provided that D_g is a unital ring for all $g \in G$.

2. Globalization

A global action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ of a groupoid G on a ring T is called a *globalization* of a partial action $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ of G on a ring R if, for each $e \in G_0$, there is a monomorphism of rings $\varphi_e : D_e \to E_e$ such that the following properties are satisfied:

(i) $\varphi_e(D_e)$ is an ideal of E_e,

(ii) $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$,

(iii) $\beta_g \circ \varphi_{d(g)}(a) = \varphi_{r(g)} \circ \alpha_g(a)$, for all $a \in D_{g^{-1}}$,

(iv) $E_g = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$.

We say that β is *unique up to equivalence* if for any global action $\beta' = \big(\{E'_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta'_g\}_{g\in G}\big)$ of G on a ring T', which also is a globalization of α, there exists, for each $e \in G_0$, an isomorphism of rings $\psi_e : E'_e \to E_e$ such that $\beta_g \circ \psi_{d(g)}(a) = \psi_{r(g)} \circ \beta'_g(a)$, for all $a \in E'_{d(g)}$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ be a partial action of a groupoid G on a ring R and suppose that D_e is a unital ring for each $e \in G_0$. Then, α admits a globalization β if and only if each ideal D_g, $g \in G$, is a unital ring. Furthermore, if β exists then it is unique up to equivalence.*

Proof. If $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a globalization for α and $\varphi_e : D_e \to E_e$, $e \in G_0$, are the corresponding ring monomorphisms, then $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$ is clearly a unital ring so D_g also is, for every $g \in G$.

Conversely, assume that each D_g, $g \in G$, is a unital ring with identity 1_g. Thus, 1_g is a central idempotent of R and $D_g = D_{r(g)}1_g = R1_g$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(G, R)$ be the ring of all maps from G into R and, for each $g \in G$, put $X_g = \{h \in G \ : \ r(h) = r(g)\}$ and $F_g = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid f(h) = 0, \text{for all } h \notin X_g\}$. Clearly, F_g is an ideal of $\mathcal{F}$ and $F_g = F_{r(g)}$. From now on and according to the notational convenience, we will also denote the value $f(h) \in R$ by $f|_h$, for all $f \in F$ and $h \in G$.

For $g \in G$ and $f \in F_{g^{-1}}$ let $\beta_g : F_{g^{-1}} \to F_g$ be the map given by

$$\beta_g(f)|_h = \begin{cases} f(g^{-1}h), & \text{if } h \in X_g \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that if $h \in X_g$ then $r(h) = r(g) = d(g^{-1})$ which implies that the product $g^{-1}h$ exists and $r(g^{-1}h) = r(g^{-1})$. Thus, β_g is well defined. Clearly, β_g is a ring homomorphism. Furthermore, for $g \in G$, $f \in F_{g^{-1}}$ and $h \in X_{g^{-1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{g^{-1}} \circ \beta_g(f)|_h &= \beta_g(f)|_{gh} = f(g^{-1}(gh)) \\ &= f(d(g)h) = f(r(h)h) = f(h). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\beta_g \circ \beta_{g^{-1}}(f)|_h = f(h)$, for all $f \in F_g$ and $h \in X_g$. Thus, β_g is a ring isomorphism.

Note that $\beta_e = I_{F_e}$, for all $e \in G_0$ and $\beta_{gh}(f)|_l = f((h^{-1}g^{-1})l) = f(h^{-1}(g^{-1}l)) = \beta_g \circ \beta_h(f)|_l$, for all $f \in F_{h^{-1}}$, $l \in X_g$ and $(g, h) \in G^2$. Hence, $\beta = (\{F_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a global action of G on $\mathcal{F}$.

Now, for each $e \in G_0$, define $\varphi_e : D_e \to F_e$ given by

$$\varphi_e(a)|_h = \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a1_h), & \text{if } r(h) = e \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $a \in D_e$ and $h \in G$. It follows directly from the definition that $\varphi_e(a)|_e = a$. Thus, φ_e is a monomorphism of rings, for all $e \in G_0$.

Let E_g be the subring of F_g generated by $\bigcup_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$, for all $g \in G$. Notice that $\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}) \subseteq E_{d(g)}$. Let $T = \prod_{e \in G_0} E_e$ and, for each $e \in G_0$, let $\iota_e : E_e \to T$ be the injective map given by $\iota_e(x) = (x_l)_{l \in G_0}$, with $x_e = x$ and $x_l = 0$ for all $l \neq e$. For convenience of notation we will identify E_e with $\iota_e(E_e)$ and φ_e with $\iota_e \circ \varphi_e$, as well as we will denote also by the same β_g, the ring isomorphism given by the composition map $\iota_{r(g)} \circ \beta_g|_{E_{g^{-1}}} \circ \iota_{d(g)}^{-1}$ from $\iota_{d(g)}(E_{g^{-1}}) \equiv E_{g^{-1}}$ onto $E_g \equiv \iota_{r(g)}(E_g)$. By construction, $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in G})$ is a global action of G on T. Our goal is to show that β is a globalization of α. We start by checking the property (iii) of the definition of a globalization, namely:

$$\beta_g \circ \varphi_{d(g)}(a) = \varphi_{r(g)} \circ \alpha_g(a), \quad \text{for all } a \in D_{g^{-1}}.$$

Consider $g \in G$, $a \in D_{g^{-1}}$ and $h \in G$. There are two possibilities to consider. In the first, $r(h) = r(g)$. In this case, $\varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a))|_h = \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a)1_h)$ and $\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(a))|_h = \varphi_{d(g)}(a)|_{g^{-1}h} = \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h})$. However, by [7, Corollary 2.2], $\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h}) \in \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_{g^{-1}h}) \subset D_{h^{-1}}$ and $\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h}) = 1_{h^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}g}$. Since $a1_{g^{-1}h}1_{g^{-1}} \in D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_{g^{-1}h}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(a))|_h &= \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h}) = \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h})1_{h^{-1}} \\
&= \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h})\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h}) = \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a1_{g^{-1}h}1_{g^{-1}}) \\
&= \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}h}1_{g^{-1}})) = \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}})\alpha_g(1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h})) \\
&= \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a)1_h) = \varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a))|_h.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second, $r(h) \neq r(g)$. In this case, we have $\varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a))|_h = 0 = \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(a))|_h$.

The next step is to show that

$$\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)})).$$

Given $g \in G$ and $c \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$, there exist $a \in D_{r(g)}$ and $b \in D_{d(g)}$ such that $c = \varphi_{r(g)}(a) = \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(b))$. Then, $a = a1_{r(g)} = \alpha_{r(g)^{-1}}(a1_{r(g)}) = \varphi_{r(g)}(a)|_{r(g)} = \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(b))|_{r(g)} = \varphi_{d(g)}(b)|_{g^{-1}r(g)} = \varphi_{d(g)}(b)|_{g^{-1}} = \alpha_g(b1_{g^{-1}}) \in D_g$ and so $c = \varphi_{r(g)}(a) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_g)$. Conversely, if $c \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_g)$ then $c = \varphi_{r(g)}(a)$, for some $a \in D_g$. Taking $b = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(a) \in D_{g^{-1}}$ we have $\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(b)) \overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(b)) = \varphi_{r(g)}(a)$. So, $c \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$.

To conclude that β is a globalization of α, it remains to prove that $\varphi_e(D_e)$ is an ideal of E_e for all $e \in G_0$. To see this it is enough to check that $\varphi_e(b)\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))$ and $\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))\varphi_e(b)$ are elements of $\varphi_e(D_e)$, for all $h \in X_e$, $a \in D_{d(h)}$ and $b \in D_e$. Given $l \in G$, we have again two possibilities to consider. In the first, $r(l) = r(h) = e$. Since $\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})b \in D_e$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))\varphi_e(b)|_l &= (\varphi_{d(h)}(a)|_{h^{-1}l})(\varphi_e(b)|_l) = \alpha_{l^{-1}h}(a1_{h^{-1}l})\alpha_{l^{-1}}(b1_l) \\ &= \alpha_{l^{-1}}(\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})b1_l) = \varphi_e(\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})b)|_l. \end{aligned}$$

In the second, $r(l) \neq r(h) = e$ and so

$$\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))\varphi_e(b)|_l = 0 = \varphi_e(\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})b)|_l.$$

Hence, $\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))\varphi_e(b) = \varphi_e(\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})b) \in \varphi_e(D_e)$. Similarly we also have $\varphi_e(b)\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a)) \in \varphi_e(D_e)$.

To end the proof it is required to show the uniqueness (up to equivalence) of the globalization β of α. Notice that $E_g = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$, for every $g \in G$. This is an immediate consequence from the fact that each $\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)})$ is an ideal of $E_{d(h)}$, as proved above.

Now, suppose that $\beta' = (\{E'_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta'_g\}_{\in G})$ is a global action of G on a ring T' and also a globalization of α. Then, for each $e \in G_0$, there exists a rind monomorphism $\varphi'_e : D_e \to E'_e$ satisfying the conditions (i)-(iv) of the definition of a globalization.

For each $e \in G_0$, consider the map $\eta_e : E'_e \to E_e$ given by

$$\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \beta'_{h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i)) \mapsto \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \beta_{h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i)),$$

with $h_i \in X_e$ and $a_i \in D_{d(h_i)}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. First of all, we need to check that η_e is well defined.

Suppose that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \beta'_{h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i)) = 0$. For all $l \in X_e$, with $d(l) = e$, and $r \in D_{d(l)}$, we have $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \beta'_{h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\beta'_l(\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)) = 0$, which implies that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r) = 0$. Since $\varphi'_{d(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{d(l^{-1}h_i)}) = \varphi'_{d(h_i)}(D_{d(h_i)})$ is an ideal of $E'_{d(h_i)}$, it follows that $\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{d(h_i)}))$ is an ideal of $E'_{r(l^{-1})}$. Note that $\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)$ is contained in the following ideal

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{d(h_i)})) \cap \varphi'_{d(l)}(D_{d(l)}) = \\ &\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{d(l^{-1}h_i)})) \cap \varphi'_{r(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{r(l^{-1}h_i)}) \overset{\text{(ii)}}{=} \\ &\varphi'_{r(l^{-1}h_i)}(D_{l^{-1}h_i}) = \varphi'_{d(l)}(D_{d(l)}1_{l^{-1}h_i}) = \varphi'_{d(l)}(D_{d(l)})\varphi'_{d(l)}(1_{l^{-1}h_i}) \end{aligned}$$

whose identity element is $\varphi'_{d(l)}(1_{l^{-1}h_i})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r) &= \beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(1_{l^{-1}h_i})\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)\\
&= \beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(1_{h_i^{-1}l}))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)\\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(1_{h_i^{-1}l}))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)\\
&= \beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}\circ\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})\varphi'_{d(l)}(r)\\
&\overset{\text{(iii)}}{=} \varphi'_{d(l)}(\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})r).
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we also get

$$\beta_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi_{d(l)}(r) = \varphi_{d(l)}(\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})r).$$

Thus,

$$0 = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta'_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi'_{d(l)}(r) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\varphi'_{d(l)}(\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})r).$$

Since $\varphi'_{d(l)}$ is a monomorphism, it follows that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})r = 0$. Hence,

$$0 = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\varphi_{d(l)}(\alpha_{l^{-1}h_i}(a_i1_{h_i^{-1}l})r) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta_{l^{-1}h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\varphi_{d(l)}(r)$$

and applying β_l we obtain

$$\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta_{h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i))\beta_l(\varphi_{d(l)}(r)) = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad r\in D_{d(l)}.$$

Hence, the element $a = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta_{h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i))$ annihilates $\beta_l(\varphi_{d(l)}(D_{d(l)}))$, for all $l\in G$ with $r(l) = e$. In particular, a annihilates the ideal L of E_g given by $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta_{h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(D_{d(h_i)}))$, which is unital by [12, Lemma 4.4]. Since $a\in L$, it follows that $a = 0$ and so η_e is a well defined homomorphism of rings. Actually, η_e is an isomorphism, whose inverse is given by $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta_{h_i}(\varphi_{d(h_i)}(a_i)) \mapsto \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\beta'_{h_i}(\varphi'_{d(h_i)}(a_i))$, with $r(h_i) = e$ and $a_i\in D_{d(h_i)}$, for all $1\leq i\leq n$.

Finally, for each $u = \beta'_h(\varphi'_{d(h)}(a))$, with $r(h) = r(d(g)) = d(g)$, and $a\in D_{d(h)}$ we have $\eta_{r(g)}\circ\beta'_g(u) = \eta_{r(g)}(\beta'_{gh}(\varphi'_{d(h)}(a))) = \beta_{gh}(\varphi_{d(h)})(a) = \beta_g(\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a))) = \beta_g\circ\eta_{d(g)}(u)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Example 2.2 Let $G = \{d(g), r(g), g, g^{-1}\}$ be a groupoid and $R = Ke_1\oplus Ke_2\oplus Ke_3$, where K is a unital ring and e_1, e_2, e_3 are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum 1_R. Take $D_{d(g)} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$, $D_{r(g)} = D_g = Ke_3$, $D_{g^{-1}} = Ke_1$, $\alpha_{d(g)} = I_{D_{d(g)}}$, $\alpha_{r(g)} = I_{D_{r(g)}}$, $\alpha_g(ae_1) = ae_3$, $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(ae_3) = ae_1$ and note that $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial action of G on R.

Observe that $X_{g^{-1}} = \{d(g), g^{-1}\}$, $X_g = \{r(g), g\}$, $F_{g^{-1}} \simeq R \times R$ via $f \mapsto (f(d(g)), f(g^{-1}))$ and $F_g \simeq R\times R$ via $f \mapsto (f(r(g)), f(g))$. Assuming the natural identifications as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that $\beta_g : F_{g^{-1}} \to F_g$, $(r, s) \mapsto (s, r)$, for all $(r, s) \in R\times R$. Also, $\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}) \simeq \{(a, \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}})) : a \in D_{d(g)}\}$ and $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \simeq \{(b, \alpha_{g^{-1}}(b1_g)) : b \in D_{r(g)}\}$. Since $b1_g = b$, for all $b \in D_{r(g)}$, it follows that $\beta_{g^{-1}}(\varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})) \subset \varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)})$. Consequently, $E_{d(g)} = E_{g^{-1}} = \varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}) \simeq D_{d(g)}$.

On the other hand, we have that $\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))(e_3, e_1) \subset \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r(g)} &= E_g \\ &= \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \oplus \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))(1_R - e_3, 1_R - e_1) \\ &= D_{r(g)} \oplus K \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the globalization of α is the action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ of G on $T = R \oplus Ke_4 = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4$, where e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum 1_T, $\beta_{d(g)} = I_{E_{d(g)}}$, $\beta_{r(g)} = I_{E_{r(g)}}$, $\beta_g(ae_1 + be_2) = ae_3 + be_4$ and $\beta_{g^{-1}}(ae_3 + be_4) = ae_1 + be_2$, for all $a, b \in K$.

Remark 2.3 Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ be a partial action of a groupoid G on a ring R having a globalization $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$. Simplifying notation, assume that $D_e \subset E_e$, for all $e \in G_0$. Note that the group G_e acts globally on $E_e = \sum_{r(h)=e} \beta_h(D_{d(h)})$, and it is clear that the action of G_e on the subalgebra $\sum_{h\in G_e} \beta_h(D_e)$ of E_e is a globalization (in the sense of [12]) of the partial action $\alpha_{(e)}$ of G_e on D_e. In particular, Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of [12, Theorem 4.5].

3. Morita Equivalence

Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ be a partial action of a groupoid G on a ring R and assume that each D_g is unital. Let $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ be a global action of G on a ring T and a globalization of α. Simplifying notation, we will assume that $D_e \subseteq E_e$, for all $e \in G_0$.

In this section we will see, under suitable conditions, that the partial skew groupoid ring $R \star_\alpha G$ and the skew groupoid ring $T \star_\beta G$ are Morita equivalent.

We start recalling that a *Morita context* is a six-tuple $(R, R', M, M', \tau, \tau')$ where R and R' are unital rings, M is an (R, R')-bimodule, M' is an (R', R)-bimodule and $\tau : M \otimes_{R'} M' \to R$ and $\tau' : M' \otimes_R M \to R'$ are bimodule maps satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\tau(x \otimes x')y = x\tau'(x' \otimes y)$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $x' \in M'$,

(ii) $\tau'(x' \otimes x)y' = x'\tau(x \otimes y')$, for all $x', y' \in M'$ and $x \in M$.

Following [20, Theorems 4.1.4 and 4.1.17], if τ and τ' are surjective then the categories of left R-modules and left R'-modules are equivalent and the rings R and R' are called *Morita equivalent*. One also says, in this case, that the corresponding Morita context is *strict*.

Following [7, Section 3], the partial skew groupoid ring $R \star_\alpha G$ corresponding to α is defined as the direct sum

$$R \star_\alpha G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} D_g \delta_g$$

in which the δ_g's are symbols, with the usual addition, and multiplication determined by the rule

$$(x\delta_g)(y\delta_h) = \begin{cases} \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x)y)\delta_{gh} & \text{if } (g,h) \in G^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $g, h \in G$, $x \in D_g$ and $y \in D_h$.

Let A (resp. B) denote the partial skew groupoid ring (resp., the skew groupoid ring) $R \star_\alpha G$ (resp., $T \star_\beta G$). Let also 1_g denote the identity element of D_g, for all $g \in G$. As already observed in the former section, 1_g is a central idempotent of R and it is immediate to check that it also is a central idempotent of T. So, we also have $D_g = D_{r(g)}1_g = E_{r(g)}1_g = R1_g = T1_g$, for all $g \in G$. Furthermore, it follows from $D_g = D_{r(g)} \cap \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$ that $1_g = 1_{r(g)}\beta_g(1_{d(g)})$. We will also assume that G_0 is finite, which is equivalent to say that A is unital with $1_A = \sum_{e \in G_0} 1_e\delta_e$, by [7, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 3.1 *Let R, T, G, α, β, A and B be as above. Then:*

(i) $B1_A = \left\{\sum_{g \in G} c_g\delta_g \ : \ c_g \in \beta_g(D_{d(g)}), \ \text{for all } g \in G\right\}$,

(ii) $1_AB = \left\{\sum_{g \in G} c_g\delta_g \ : \ c_g \in D_{r(g)}, \ \text{for all } g \in G\right\}$,

(iii) $1_AB1_A = A$,

(iv) $B1_AB = B$.

Proof. (i) For every $g \in G$ and $s \in E_g$ we have $s = \beta_g(t)$, for some $t \in E_{g^{-1}} = E_{d(g)}$, and

$$(s\delta_g)1_A = (s\delta_g)(1_{d(g)}\delta_{d(g)}) = s\beta_g(1_{d(g)})\delta_g = \beta_g(t1_{d(g)})\delta_g$$

with $\beta_g(t1_{d(g)}) \in \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$, since $D_{d(g)}$ is an ideal of $E_{d(g)}$.

For the reverse inclusion, take $a \in D_{d(g)}$ and $c_g = \beta_g(a)$. Then,

$$c_g\delta_g = \beta_g(a)\delta_g = \beta_g(a1_{d(g)})\delta_g = (c_g\delta_g)(1_{d(g)}\delta_{d(g)}) = (c_g\delta_g)1_A.$$

(ii) For $s \in E_g$ we have

$$1_A(s\delta_g) = (1_{r(g)}\delta_{r(g)})(s\delta_g) = 1_{r(g)}\beta_{r(g)}(s)\delta_g = 1_{r(g)}s\delta_g$$

with $1_{r(g)}s \in D_{r(g)}$, because $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of E_g.

Conversely, if $c_g \in D_{r(g)}$ then

$$c_g\delta_g = (1_{r(g)}\delta_{r(g)})(c_g\delta_g) = 1_A(c_g\delta_g).$$

(iii) Consider $a \in E_g$. Then, $a1_g \in D_g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A(a\delta_g)1_A &= (1_{r(g)}a\delta_g)(1_{d(g)}\delta_{d(g)}) \\ &= 1_{r(g)}a\beta_g(1_{d(g)})\delta_g \\ &= 1_g a\delta_g. \end{aligned}$$

The converse is immediate.

(iv) It is enough to show that $B \subseteq B1_AB$. Since, for each $h \in G$, $E_h = \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$, the result follows from (i) and (ii) because

$$\beta_g(a)\delta_h = (\beta_g(a)\delta_g)(1_{r(g^{-1}h)}\delta_{g^{-1}h}) \in (B1_A)(1_AB) = B1_AB,$$

for all $a \in D_{d(g)}$. □

Theorem 3.2 *Let R, T, G, α, β, A and B be as above and suppose that B is unital. Then $A = R \star_\alpha G$ and $B = T \star_\beta G$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Clearly, $M = 1_AB$ is a (A, B)-bimodule and $N = B1_A$ is a (B, A)-bimodule. By Proposition 3.1, we have that $\tau : M \otimes_B N \to A$, $m \otimes n \mapsto mn$ and $\tau' : N \otimes_A M \to B$, $n \otimes m \mapsto nm$ are surjective bimodule maps and one can easily see by a straightforward calculation that the six-tuple $(A, B, M, N, \tau, \tau')$ is a Morita context. $\square$

4. The subring of invariants and the trace map

Throughout the rest of this paper $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ will denote a partial action of a finite groupoid G on a ring R such that each D_g is unital with identity element 1_g. Recall that, in this case, 1_g is a central idempotent of R and $D_g = D_{r(g)}1_g = R1_g$, for all $g \in G$.

We assume that $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a globalization of α, acting on a ring T. Recalling the construction of a globalization given in section 2, and in order to simplify notation, we also assume that $T = \bigoplus_{e\in G_0} E_e$, $R = \bigoplus_{e\in G_0} D_e$ and D_e is an ideal of E_e, for all $e \in G_0$. Clearly, R is a unital ring with identity element given by $1_R = \sum_{e\in G_0} 1_e$ and, under the assumptions considered, $R = T1_R$.

Two relevant concepts which appear in Galois theory are the notions of subring of invariants and trace map. The subring of *invariants* of R under α is defined similarly as in [13] by

$$R^\alpha = \{x \in R \,:\, \alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}}) = x1_g, \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Remark 4.1 Notice that $R^\alpha \subseteq \bigoplus_{e\in G_0} D_e^{\alpha(e)}$. Indeed, any $x \in R$ is of the form $x = \sum_{e\in G_0} x_e$, with $x_e \in D_e$, and $x \in R^\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha_g(x_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}}) = x_{r(g)}1_g$, for every $g \in G$. Similarly, we have that $a = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_e \in T^\beta$ if only if $\beta_g(a_{d(g)}) = a_{r(g)}$, for all $g \in G$. In general, the inclusion $R^\alpha \subseteq \bigoplus_{e\in G_0} D_e^{\alpha(e)}$ is strict as it is well shown in the following example: $G = \{d(g), r(g), g, g^{-1}\}$, $R = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4 \oplus Ke_5$, where K is a unital ring and e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum 1_R, $D_{d(g)} = D_{g^{-1}} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$, $D_{r(g)} = Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4 \oplus Ke_5$, $D_g = Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4$, $\alpha_{d(g)} = I_{D_{d(g)}}$, $\alpha_{r(g)} = I_{D_{r(g)}}$, $\alpha_g(ae_1 + be_2) = ae_3 + be_4$ and $\alpha_{g^{-1}}(ce_3 + de_4) = ce_1 + de_2$, for all $a, b, c, d \in K$. It is immediate to check that $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial (not global) action of G on R, $R^\alpha = K(e_1 + e_3) \oplus K(e_2 + e_4) \oplus Ke_5$, $D_{d(g)}^{\alpha(d(g))} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$ and $D_{r(g)}^{\alpha(r(g))} = Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4 \oplus Ke_5$.

The trace map is defined as the map $t_\alpha : R \to R$ given by

$$t_\alpha(x) = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}}),$$

for all $x \in R$.

Lemma 4.2 *The map t_α is a homomorphism of (R^α, R^α)-bimodules and*

(i) $t_\alpha(R) \subseteq R^\alpha$,

(ii) $t_\alpha(\alpha_g(x)) = t_\alpha(x)$, *for all $x \in D_{g^{-1}}$ and $g \in G$.*

Proof. The first assertion is obvious.

(i) Consider $x \in R$ and $h \in G$ and observe that $\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})1_{h^{-1}} \in D_g \cap D_{h^{-1}}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_h(t_\alpha(x)1_{h^{-1}}) &= \textstyle\sum_{g\in G} \alpha_h(\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})1_{h^{-1}})\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{r(g)=d(h)} \alpha_{hg}(x1_{(hg)^{-1}})1_h\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{r(l)=r(h)} \alpha_l(x1_{l^{-1}})1_h\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{l\in G} \alpha_l(x1_{l^{-1}})1_h\\ &= t_\alpha(x)1_h.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Recall from [7, Corollary 2.2] that, for all $g, h \in G$ such that $d(g) = r(h)$, we have $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = D_g \cap D_{gh}$ and consequently $\alpha_g(1_{g^{-1}}1_h) = 1_g1_{gh}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}t_\alpha(\alpha_g(x)) &= \textstyle\sum_{h\in G} \alpha_h(\alpha_g(x)1_{h^{-1}})\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{d(h)=r(g)} \alpha_{hg}(x1_{(hg)^{-1}})1_h\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{d(l)=d(g)} \alpha_l(x1_{l^{-1}})1_{lg^{-1}}\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{d(l)=d(g)} \alpha_l(x1_{l^{-1}})\alpha_l(1_{l^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}})\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{d(l)=d(g)} \alpha_l(x1_{l^{-1}}) = t_\alpha(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in D_{g^{-1}}$ and $g \in G$. □

Remark 4.3 The statement (i) in Lemma 4.2 is of fundamental importance to introduce a notion of a Galois extension for partial groupoid actions, which generalizes that defined for partial group actions in [13]. And this statement holds because the assumptions assumed on the ring R in the beginning of this section. In general, Lemma 4.2(i)-(ii) is not true, as we show in the following example.

Consider the groupoid $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ with $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2\}$, $g_3^{-1} = g_3$ and $g_3g_3 = g_2$, and take $R = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4$, where K is a unital ring and e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum 1_R. Put $D_{g_1} = R$, $D_{g_2} = Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4$, $D_{g_3} = Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3$, $\alpha_{g_1} = I_{D_{g_1}}$, $\alpha_{g_2} = I_{D_{g_2}}$ and $\alpha_{g_3}(ae_2 + be_3) = be_2 + ae_3$, for all $a, b \in K$. By a simple calculation, we have that $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial (not global) action of G on R and it is immediate to check that $R^\alpha = Ke_1 \oplus K(e_2 + e_3) \oplus Ke_4$, $t_\alpha(e_3) = e_2 + 2e_3 \notin R^\alpha$ and $t_\alpha(e_1) = e_1 \neq 0 = t_\alpha(\alpha_{g_3}(e_11_{g_3^{-1}}))$.

Now we observe that by a straightforward and routine calculation it follows that R is a $(R^\alpha, R\star_\alpha G)$-bimodule (resp., $(R\star_\alpha G, R^\alpha)$-bimodule), with the right (resp., left) action of $R\star_\alpha G$ on R given by $x\cdot a\delta_g = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(xa)$ (resp., $a\delta_g\cdot x = a\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})$), for all $g\in G$, $a\in D_g$ and $x\in R$.

Furthermore, the map $R\times R\to R^\alpha,\ (x,y)\mapsto t_\alpha(xy)$ (resp., $R\times R\to R\star_\alpha G,\ (x,y)\mapsto \sum_{g\in G} x\alpha_g(y1_{g^{-1}})\delta_g$) is $R\star_\alpha G$-balanced (resp., R^α-balanced) by Lemma 4.2. So we can consider the maps

$$\tau\ :\ R\otimes_{R\star_\alpha G} R\to R^\alpha,\ \ \tau(x\otimes y)=t_\alpha(xy)$$

and

$$\tau'\ :\ R\otimes_{R^\alpha} R\to R\star_\alpha G,\ \ \tau'(x\otimes y)=\sum_{g\in G} x\alpha_g(y1_{g^{-1}})\delta_g.$$

Proposition 4.4 $(R\star_\alpha G, R^\alpha, R, R, \tau, \tau')$ *is a Morita context. The map* τ *is surjective if and only if there exists* $x\in R$ *such that* $t_\alpha(x)=1_R$.

Proof. The first assertion follows by a straightforward and routine calculation and the second is obvious. □

Our goal in the rest of this section is to prove that $R^\alpha\simeq T^\beta$. It is clear that $T^\beta 1_R\subset R^\alpha$. In fact, for $a=\sum_{e\in G_0} a_e\in T^\beta$ and $g\in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_g((a1_R)1_{g^{-1}}) &= \alpha_g(a_{d(g)}1_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}})=\beta_g(a_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}})\\ &= \beta_g(a_{d(g)})\beta_g(1_{g^{-1}})=a_{r(g)}1_g=(a1_R)1_g.\end{aligned}$$

For each $g\in G$, we recall that $X_g=\{h\in G\ :\ r(h)=r(g)\}$ (see section 2). Now, assume that $X_e=\{g_{1,e}=e, g_{2,e},\ldots,g_{n_e,e}\}$, for every $e\in G_0$. Then, $E_e=\sum_{1\le j\le n_e}\beta_{g_{j,e}}(D_{d(g_{j,e})})$ is a unital ring [12, Lemma 4.4] and its identity element $1'_e$ is given by the following boolean sum

$$1'_e=\sum_{1\le l\le n_e}\ \sum_{i_1\le\ldots\le i_l}(-1)^{l+1}\beta_{g_{i_1,e}}(1_{d(g_{i_1,e})})\ldots\beta_{g_{i_l,e}}(1_{d(g_{i_l,e})}),$$

of the central idempotents $\beta_{g_{j,e}}(1_{d(g_{j,e})})$ of E_e, $1\le j\le n_e$. We can also write $1'_e$ as the orthogonal sum $1'_e=v_{1,e}\oplus v_{2,e}\oplus\ldots\oplus v_{n_e,e}$, where $v_{1,e}=1_e$ and

$$v_{j,e}=(1'_e-1_e)(1'_e-\beta_{g_{2,e}}(1_{d(g_{2,e})}))\ldots(1'_e-\beta_{g_{j-1,e}}(1_{d(g_{j-1,e})}))\beta_{g_{j,e}}(1_{d(g_{j,e})}),$$

for all $2\le j\le n_e$. It also follows from the above that T is a unital ring, with identity element $1_T=\sum_{e\in G_0}1'_e$. Moreover, the map $\psi_e: T\longrightarrow E_e$ defined by

$$\psi_e(a) = \sum_{1\leq l\leq n_e} \sum_{i_1\leq \ldots \leq i_l} (-1)^{l+1}\beta_{g_{i_1,e}}(1_{d(g_{i_1,e})}) \ldots \beta_{g_{i_l,e}}(1_{d(g_{i_l,e})})\beta_{g_{i_l,e}}(a_{d(g_{i_l,e})}),$$

for all $e \in G_0$ and $a = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_e \in T$, is clearly a homomorphism of rings. It is immediate that $\psi_e(1_R) = 1'_e$ and we can also write $\psi_e(a) = \sum_{1\leq j\leq n_e} \beta_{g_{j,e}}(a_{d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e}$.

Lemma 4.5 *If $x \in R^\alpha$ then $\beta_g(\psi_{d(g)}(x)) = \psi_{r(g)}(x)$, for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. Take $g, l, h \in G$ with $r(l) = r(h)$ and $x \in R^\alpha$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_l(x1_{d(l)})\beta_h(1_{d(h)}) &= \beta_l(x1_{d(l)}\beta_{l^{-1}h}(1_{d(h)}))\\
&= \beta_l(x1_{r(l^{-1}h)}\beta_{l^{-1}h}(1_{d(l^{-1}h)}))\\
&= \beta_l(x1_{l^{-1}h}) = \beta_l(\alpha_{l^{-1}h}(x1_{h^{-1}l}))\\
&= \beta_l(\beta_{l^{-1}h}(x1_{r(h^{-1}l)}\beta_{h^{-1}l}(1_{d(h^{-1}l)})))\\
&= \beta_h(x1_{d(h)}\beta_{h^{-1}l}(1_{d(l)}))\\
&= \beta_h(x1_{d(h)})\beta_l(1_{d(l)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $gX_{d(g)} = X_{r(g)}$, it follows from the equality above that $\beta_g(V) = W$, where V (resp., W) denote the set of all summands of $\psi_{d(g)}(x)$ (resp., $\psi_{r(g)}(x)$). Consequently, $\beta_g(\psi_{d(g)}(x)) = \psi_{r(g)}(x)$. ☐

The next result is an extension of [13, Proposition 2.3] to the context of partial groupoid actions.

Theorem 4.6 *The subrings of invariants R^α and T^β are isomorphic.*

Proof. Consider the map $\psi : T \longrightarrow T$ defined by $\psi(a) = \sum_{e\in G_0} \psi_e(a)$, for any $a \in T$. Note that, by Lemma 4.5, $\beta_g(\psi(x)_{d(g)}) = \beta_g(\psi_{d(g)}(x)) = \psi_{r(g)}(x) = \psi(x)_{r(g)}$, for all $x \in R^\alpha$ and $g \in G$. Thus, $\psi(R^\alpha) \subset T^\beta$. Since $\psi_e(x)1_e = x_e1_e$ it follows that $\psi(x)1_R = x$, for all $x = \sum_{e\in G_0} x_e \in R^\alpha$. Furthermore, for $a = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_e \in T^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_e(a1_R) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} \beta_{g_{j,e}}(a_{d(g_{j,e})}1_{d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} \beta_{g_{j,e}}(a_{d(g_{j,e})})\beta_{g_{j,e}}(1_{d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} \beta_{g_{j,e}}(a_{d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} a_{r(g_{j,e})}v_{j,e} \\ &= a_e\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_e} v_{j,e}\right) = a_e1'_e = a_e.\end{aligned}$$

So, $\psi|_{R^\alpha} : R^\alpha \longrightarrow T^\beta$ is a ring isomorphism whose inverse is the map given by the multiplication by 1_R. $\square$

5. Galois theory

The notion of a partial Galois extension for partial group actions given in [13] is a generalization of the classical notion of Galois extension presented in [10]. In the sequel, we introduce this notion for partial groupoid actions. Recall that R, T, G, α and β are assumed here just like as in the former section. So all the notations introduced there will be also freely used in this section.

We will say that R is an *α-partial Galois extension* of the subring of invariants R^α if there exist elements $x_i, y_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq m} x_i\alpha_g(y_i1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{e,g}1_e$, for all $e \in G_0$ and $g \in G$. In particular, in this case, D_e is an $\alpha_{(e)}$-partial Galois extension of $D_e^{\alpha_{(e)}}$ in the sense of [13], for every $e \in G_0$. The set $\{x_i, y_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ is called a *partial Galois coordinate system* of R over R^α. When α is global, we say simply that R is an *α-Galois extension of R^α* and that *$\{x_i, y_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ is a Galois coordinate system.*

Theorem 5.1 *The following statements hold:*

(i) *If T is a β-Galois extension of T^β then R is an α-partial Galois extension of R^α .*

(ii) *Suppose that G satisfies the condition: $g_{j,r(l)}^{-1}lg_{j,d(l)} \in G_0$ implies that $l \in G_0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n_{d(l)} = n_{r(l)}$. Then the converse of (i) also holds.*

Proof. (i) Let $\{a_i, b_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ be a Galois coordinate system of T over T^β and suppose that $a_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_{i,e}$, $b_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} b_{i,e}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Taking $x_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_{i,e}1_e = a_i1_R$ and $y_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} b_{i,e}1_e = b_i1_R$, one can easily check that $\{x_i, y_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ is a partial Galois coordinate system of R over R^α.

(ii) Let $\{x_i, y_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ be a partial Galois coordinate system of R over R^α and assume that $x_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} x_{i,e}$ and $y_i = \sum_{e\in G_0} y_{i,e}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the elements $a_{i,j} = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_{i,j,e}$ and $b_{i,j} = \sum_{e\in G_0} b_{i,j,e}$, where $a_{i,j,e} = \beta_{g_{j,e}}(x_{i,d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e}$ and $b_{i,j,e} = \beta_{g_{j,e}}(y_{i,d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e}$, for all $e \in G_0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_e$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}\beta_e(b_{i,j}1'_e) &= \sum_{i,j} \beta_{g_{j,e}}(x_{i,d(g_{j,e})})\beta_{g_{j,e}}(y_{i,d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e}\\
&= \sum_j \beta_{g_{j,e}}(\sum_i x_{i,d(g_{j,e})}y_{i,d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e}\\
&= \sum_j \beta_{g_{j,e}}(1_{d(g_{j,e})})v_{j,e} = \sum_j v_{j,e}\\
&= 1'_e,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $e \in G_0$.

Consider $u_{j,e} = (1'_e - 1_e)\dots(1'_e - \beta_{g_{j-1,e}}(1_{d(g_{j-1,e})}))$ and $g_{jl} = g^{-1}_{j,r(l)} l g_{j,d(l)}$, for all $e \in G_0$ and $l \notin G_0$. Thus, $g_{jl} \notin G_0$ and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}\beta_l(b_{i,j}1'_{l^{-1}}) &= \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j,r(l)}\beta_l(b_{i,j,d(l)})\\
&= \sum_{i,j} \beta_{g_{j,r(l)}}(x_{i,d(g_{j,r(l)})})\beta_{lg_{j,d(l)}}(y_{i,d(g_{j,d(l)})})v_{j,r(l)}\beta_l(v_{j,d(l)})\\
&= \sum_j \beta_{g_{j,r(l)}}(\sum_i x_{i,r(g_{jl})}\beta_{g_{jl}}(y_{i,d(g_{jl})})1_{d(g_{j,r(l)})})u_{j,r(l)}\beta_l(v_{j,d(l)})\\
&= \sum_j \beta_{g_{j,r(l)}}(\sum_i x_{i,r(g_{jl})}\alpha_{g_{jl}}(y_{i,d(g_{jl})}1_{g_{jl}^{-1}}))u_{j,r(l)}\beta_l(v_{j,d(l)})\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the set $\{a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}\}$, as above constructed, is a Galois system for T over T^β. $\square$

Remark 5.2 When G is a group, the hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 5.1 is trivially satisfied and we recover [13, Theorem 3.3]. Certainly, there are groupoids, others than groups, which also satisfy the condition stated in Theorem 5.1(ii). Take, for instance, the groupoid $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$, with $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2\}$, $g_3^{-1} = g_3$ and $g_3g_3 = g_2$.

From now on, we will denote by $j : R \star_\alpha G \to \mathrm{End}(R)_{R^\alpha}$ the natural map given by $j(\sum_{g\in G} a_g\delta_g)(x) = \sum_{g\in G} a_g\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})$, for all $x \in R$. Clearly, j is a well defined homomorphism of left R-modules. Moreover,

j is also a ring homomorphism. Indeed, by a straightforward calculation one easily gets $j(1_{R\star_\alpha G}) = I_R$ and $j((a_g\delta_g)(b_h\delta_h)) = j(a_g\delta_g) \circ j(b_h\delta_h)$ for every $g, h \in G$ such that $d(g) = r(h)$. If otherwise $d(g) \neq r(h)$ then $(a_g\delta_g)(b_h\delta_h) = 0$ and also $D_h \cap D_{g^{-1}} = 0$ which implies that $(j(a_g\delta_g) \circ j(b_h\delta_h))(x) = a_g\alpha_g(b_h\alpha_h(x1_{h^{-1}})1_{g^{-1}}) = 0$, for every $x \in R$.

For any left $R \star_\alpha G$-module M, we put

$$M^G = \{m \in M \mid (1_g\delta_g)m = 1_g m, \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

the set of the invariants of M under G. Note that M is a left R-module via the embedding $x \mapsto x1_{R\star_\alpha G} = \sum_{e\in G_0} x1_e\delta_e$ from R into $R \star_\alpha G$ and, indeed, M^G is a left R^α-submodule of M. In particular, since R is a left $R \star_\alpha G$-module via j, we have that R^G coincides with the subring R^α.

The following theorem extends (and improves) [10, Theorem 3.1], [13, Theorem 4.1] and [5, Theorem 3.1] to the setting of partial groupoid actions.

Theorem 5.3 *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *R is an α-partial Galois extension of R^α.*

(ii) *R is a finitely generated projective right R^α-module and j is an isomorphism of rings and left R-modules.*

(iii) *For every left $R \star_\alpha G$-module M the map $\mu : R \otimes_{R^\alpha} M^G \to M$, given by $\mu(x \otimes m) = xm$, is an isomorphism of left R-modules.*

(iv) *The map $\rho : R \otimes_{R^\alpha} R \to \prod_{g\in G} D_g$, $x \otimes y \mapsto (x\alpha_g(y1_{g^{-1}}))_{g\in G}$, is an isomorphism of left R-modules.*

(v) *$RtR = R \star_\alpha G$, where $t = \sum_{g\in G} 1_g\delta_g$.*

(vi) *The map τ' is surjective.*

(vii) *R is a generator for the category of the left $R \star_\alpha G$-modules.*

Moreover, under the assumption that at least one of the above statements holds, then the following additional statements also are equivalent:

(viii) *$t_\alpha(R) = R^\alpha$.*

(ix) *R is a generator for the category of the right R^α-modules.*

(x) *The Morita context $(R \star_\alpha G, R^\alpha, R, R, \tau, \tau')$ is strict.*

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Take $x_i, y_i \in R$, $1 \le i \le n$, such that $\sum_{1\le i\le n} x_i\alpha_g(y_i1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{e,g}1_e$, for all $e \in G_0$ and $g \in G$. A dual basis for R as a projective right R^α-module is given by the set $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^n$, with $f_i = t_\alpha(y_i\text{-}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R^\alpha}(R, R^\alpha)$.

For any $f \in \mathrm{End}(R)_{R^\alpha}$, taking $w = \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} f(x_i)\alpha_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}})\delta_g$, an easy calculation gives $j(w)(x) = f(x)$, for every $x \in R$. Finally, if $v = \sum_{g\in G} a_g\delta_g$ is such that $j(v) = 0$ then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & \sum_{h\in G}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} j(v)(x_i)\alpha_h(y_i 1_{h^{-1}})\delta_h \\ & = & \sum_{h\in G}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\sum_{g\in G} a_g\alpha_g(x_i 1_{g^{-1}})\alpha_h(y_i 1_{h^{-1}})\delta_h \\ & = & \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} a_g\alpha_g(\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} x_i\alpha_{g^{-1}h}(y_i 1_{h^{-1}g})1_{g^{-1}})\delta_h \\ & = & \sum_{e\in G_0}\sum_{r(g)=r(h)} a_g\alpha_g(\delta_{e,g^{-1}h}1_e 1_{g^{-1}})\delta_h \end{array}$$

Now, observing that $g^{-1}h = e$ implies $e = r(e) = r(g^{-1}h) = r(g^{-1}) = d(g)$, one has $g = gd(g) = ge = g(g^{-1}h) = (gg^{-1})h = r(g)h = r(h)h = h$ and so

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & \sum_{e\in G_0}\sum_{r(g)=r(h)} a_g\alpha_g(\delta_{e,g^{-1}h}1_e 1_{g^{-1}})\delta_h \\ & = & \sum_{g\in G} a_g\alpha_g(1_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}})\delta_g \\ & = & \sum_{g\in G} a_g\delta_g = v. \end{array}$$

(ii)⇒(iii) Let $\{x_i, f_i\}_{1\leq i\leq l}$ be a dual basis of R as a right R^α-module, and $v_i \in R \star_\alpha G$ such that $j(v_i) = f_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. It follows from the injectivity of j that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq m} x_i v_i = 1_{R\star_\alpha G}$ as well as $(1_g\delta_g)v_i = 1_g v_i$, for all $g \in G$. Thus, the map $\nu : M \to R \otimes_{R^\alpha} M^G$ given by $\nu(m) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq l} x_i \otimes v_i m$ is a well-defined homomorphism of left R-modules and an easy computation shows that ν is the inverse of μ.

(iii)⇒(iv) Set $\mathfrak{F} = \{f : G \to R \,|\, f(g) \in D_g, \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{F}$ is an (R, R)-bimodule naturally isomorphic to $\prod_{g\in G} D_g$ and a left $R \star_\alpha G$-module via the well-defined action given by

$$((a_g\delta_g)f)(h) = \begin{cases} a_g\alpha_g(f(g^{-1}h)1_g^{-1}), & \text{if } r(h) = r(g) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Also, the map $R \to \mathfrak{F}^G$, $r \mapsto f_r$, such that $f_r(h) = \alpha_h(r1_{h^{-1}})$, $h \in G$, is a well-defined isomorphism of left R^α-modules. Indeed, such a map is clearly a homomorphism of left R^α-modules from R to $\mathfrak{F}$. Given $g, h \in G$ and $r \in R$ we have $((1_g\delta_g)f_r)(h) = \alpha_g(f_r(g^{-1}h)1_g^{-1}) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}h}(r1_{h^{-1}g})1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_h(r1_{h^{-1}})1_g = f_r(h)1_g$, if $r(h) = r(g)$. If $r(h) \neq r(g)$, then $D_g \cap D_h = 0$ and consequently $f_r(h)1_g = 0 = ((1_g\delta_g)f_r)(h)$. So, $f_r \in \mathfrak{F}^G$. If for some $r \in R$ and every $h \in G$ we have $f_r(h) = 0$, then $r = r1_R = \sum_{e\in G_0} r1_e = \sum_{e\in G_0} \alpha_e(r1_e) = \sum_{e\in G_0} f_r(e) = 0$. Finally, given $f \in \mathfrak{F}^G$ and taking

$r = \sum_{e\in G_0} f(e)$ we have $f_r(h) = \sum_{e\in G_0} \alpha_h(f(e)1_{h^{-1}}) = \alpha_h(f(d(h))1_{h^{-1}}) = \alpha_h(f(h^{-1}h)1_{h^{-1}}) = ((1_h\delta_h)f)(h) = 1_h f(h) = f(h)$, for every $h \in G$.

Therefore, the map given by the composition $R \otimes_{R^\alpha} R \simeq R \otimes_{R^\alpha} \mathfrak{F}^G \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathfrak{F} \simeq \prod_{g\in G} D_g$ is the claimed isomorphism ρ.

(iv)⇒(i) It follows immediately from the surjectivity of ρ.

(i)⇔(v) Notice that RtR is an ideal of $R \star_\alpha G$. This easily follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}(a\delta_h)t &= \textstyle\sum_{g\in G}(a\delta_h)(1_g\delta_g) = \sum_{r(g)=d(h)} a\alpha_h(1_g 1_{h^{-1}})\delta_{hg} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{r(g)=d(h)} a1_h 1_{hg}\delta_{hg} = \sum_{r(l)=r(h)} a1_l\delta_l = at\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}t(a\delta_h) &= \textstyle\sum_{g\in G}(1_g\delta_g)(a\delta_h) = \sum_{d(g)=r(h)} \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}})\delta_{gh} \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{d(l)=d(h)} \alpha_{lh^{-1}}(a1_{hl^{-1}})\delta_l = \sum_{d(l)=d(h)} \alpha_l(\alpha_{h^{-1}}(a1_h)1_{l^{-1}})\delta_l \\ &= t\alpha_{h^{-1}}(a1_h),\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in G$ and $a \in D_h$. So, $RtR = R \star_\alpha G$ if and only if there exist elements $x_i, y_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that

$$\sum_{e\in G_0} 1_e\delta_e = 1_{R\star_\alpha G} = \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} x_i t y_i = \sum_{g\in G}\Big(\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} x_i\alpha_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}})\Big)\delta_g$$

if and only if $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a partial Galois coordinate system of R over R^α.

(v)⇔(vi) It is enough to observe that $\tau'(R \otimes_{R^\alpha} R) = RtR$.

(ii)⇔(vii) Let $(R^\alpha)^{op}$ denote the opposite ring of R^α. Now, observe that R has a natural left $(R^\alpha)^{op}$-module structure via the right multiplication, which is compatible with its left $R\star_\alpha G$-module structure defined via j, that is, R is a $((R^\alpha)^{op}, R \star_\alpha G)$-bimodule. Furthermore, the map $\theta : (R^\alpha)^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{R\star_\alpha G}(R)$ given by $\theta(r)(x) = xr$, for all $r \in (R^\alpha)^{op}$ and $x \in R$, is a well defined homomorphism of rings having inverse given by $f \mapsto f(1_R)$, for all $f \in \mathrm{End}_{R\star_\alpha G}(R)$. Finally, since $\mathrm{End}(R)_{R^\alpha} = \mathrm{End}_{(R^\alpha)^{op}}(R)$, the result follows by [21, Theorem 18.8].

(viii)⇔(ix) Assume that $t_\alpha(R) = R^\alpha$. Thus, t_α is a surjective right R^α-linear map from R to R^α and consequently R_{R^α} is a generator.

Conversely, the trace ideal $\mathfrak{T}(R)_{R^\alpha} := \sum_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}(R,R^\alpha)_{R^\alpha}} f(R)$ of R^α equals R^α by [21, Theorem 13.7]. Since j is an isomorphism by assumption, for every

$f \in \mathrm{Hom}(R, R^\alpha)_{R^\alpha} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(R)_{R^\alpha}$ there exists a unique $v = \sum_{g\in G} a_g\delta_g \in R \star_\alpha G$ such that $j(v) = f$. Therefore, $j(v)(x) \in R^\alpha$, for any $x \in R$ and consequently

$$\begin{array}{rcl} j(\sum_{r(g)=r(h)} a_g 1_h \delta_g)(x) & = & (\sum_{g\in G} a_g\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}}))1_h \\ & = & \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_h(a_g\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})1_{h^{-1}}) \\ & = & \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_h(a_g 1_{h^{-1}})\alpha_h(\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})1_{h^{-1}}) \\ & = & \sum_{r(g)=d(h)} \alpha_h(a_g 1_{h^{-1}})\alpha_{hg}(x1_{(hg)^{-1}})) \\ & = & \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} \alpha_h(a_{h^{-1}g} 1_{h^{-1}})\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}})) \\ & = & j(\sum_{r(g)=r(h)} \alpha_h(a_{h^{-1}g}1_{h^{-1}})\delta_g)(x) \end{array}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{r(g)=r(h)} a_g 1_h \delta_g = \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} \alpha_h(a_{h^{-1}g}1_{h^{-1}})\delta_g,$$

for any $h \in G$. Then, $a_g 1_h = \alpha_h(a_{h^{-1}g}1_{h^{-1}})$ for all $g, h \in G$ such that $r(g) = r(h)$. In particular, for $g = h$ we have $a_g = \alpha_g(a_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}})$, for all $g \in G$. Now, setting $a_f = \sum_{e\in G_0} a_e$ we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t_\alpha(a_f x) & = & \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{e\in G_0} \alpha_g(a_e x 1_{g^{-1}}) \\ & = & \sum_{e\in G_0}\sum_{d(g)=e} \alpha_g(a_e 1_{g^{-1}})\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}}) \\ & = & \sum_{g\in G} a_g\alpha_g(x1_{g^{-1}}) \\ & = & f(x), \end{array}$$

for every $x \in R$. Hence, $R^\alpha = t_\alpha(\sum_{f\in \mathrm{Hom}(R,R^\alpha)_{R^\alpha}} a_f R) \subseteq t_\alpha(R)$ and the result follows.

(viii)⇔(x) It follows from Proposition 4.4. □

Corollary 5.4 *Suppose that at least one of the following assertions holds:*

(i) *R is a commutative ring.*

(ii) *$t_\alpha(1_R)$ is invertible in R.*

(iii) *$|G_e|1_e$ is invertible in D_e and $t_\alpha|_{D_e} = t_{\alpha_{(e)}}$, for all $e \in G_0$.*

Then R is an α-partial Galois extension of R^α if and only if the Morita context $(R \star_\alpha G, R^\alpha, R, R, \tau, \tau')$ is strict.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathfrak{T}(R)_{R^\alpha} = R^\alpha$.

(i) In this case the proof is the same as in [10, Lemma 1.6]. Indeed, as we saw above, if $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a partial Galois coordinate system for R over R^α, then $\{x_i, t_\alpha(y_i\text{-})\}_{i=1}^n$ is a dual basis for R as a finitely generated projective R^α-module and so $R\mathfrak{T}(R)_{R^\alpha} = R$. Consequently, there exists $c \in \mathfrak{T}(R)_{R^\alpha}$ such that $1_R = t_\alpha(c)$ (see [4, Corollary 2.2.5] for instance) and the result follows.

(ii) Put $c = t_\alpha(1_R)^{-1}$. Then, $t_\alpha(1_R) = t_\alpha(t_\alpha(1_R)c) = t_\alpha(1_R)t_\alpha(c)$ and so $t_\alpha(c) = 1_R$, which implies the required.

(iii) It follows from Remark 1.2 and [8, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5] that there exists $c_e \in D_e$ such that $t_{\alpha_{(e)}}(c_e) = 1_e$, for every $e \in G_0$. Now, taking $c = \sum_{e\in G_0} c_e$ we have

$$t_\alpha(c) = \sum_{e\in G_0} t_\alpha(c_e) = \sum_{e\in G_0} t_{\alpha_{(e)}}(c_e) = \sum_{e\in G_0} 1_e = 1_R$$

and the required follows. $\square$

Remark 5.5 The condition (iii) in Corollary 5.4 is trivially satisfied in the case that G is a group. The following example shows that it is also satisfied even when G is not a group. Take the groupoid $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ with $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2\}$, $g_3^{-1} = g_3$ and $g_3g_3 = g_2$. Given $R = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4 \oplus Ke_5$, where K is a unital ring and e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum 1_R, put $D_{g_1} = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2$, $D_{g_2} = Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4 \oplus Ke_5$, $D_{g_3} = Ke_3 \oplus Ke_4$, $\alpha_{g_1} = I_{D_{g_1}}$, $\alpha_{g_2} = I_{D_{g_2}}$ and $\alpha_{g_3}(ae_3 + be_4) = be_3 + ae_4$, for all $a, b \in K$. Note that $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial action (not global) of G on R, $t_\alpha|_{D_{g_1}} = t_{\alpha_{(g_1)}}$ and $t_\alpha|_{D_{g_2}} = t_{\alpha_{(g_2)}}$.

6. A final remark

In [9] Caenepeel and De Groot developed a Galois theory for weak Hopf algebra actions on algebras. In particular, they considered the situation where the weak Hopf algebra is a finite groupoid algebra (it is well known that any groupoid algebra is a weak Hopf algebra) and a notion of groupoid action was introduced. Actually, this previous notion and the our's one are equivalent, which was proved by D. Flôres in her PhD thesis [16]. More specifically she proved the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 [16, Teorema 1.2.11] *Let G be a finite groupoid, K a commutative ring and R a K-algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists an action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ of G on R such that every E_e, $e \in G_0$, is unital and $R = \bigoplus_{e\in G_0} E_e$.*

(ii) *R has an structure of KG-module algebra.*

Given the action β of G on R and $KG = \bigoplus_{g\in G} Ku_g$, the KG-module algebra structure of R is given by the action $u_g \cdot r = \beta_g(r1_{g^{-1}})$. Conversely, given an action $\cdot$ of KG on R, the corresponding action β of G on R is the pair $(\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$, where $E_g = R1_g$, $1_g = u_g \cdot 1_R$ and $\beta_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = (u_g \cdot r)1_g = u_g \cdot r$, for all $r \in R$ and $g \in G$.

Finally, the assertion (iv) of Theorem 5.3 is just the definition of Galois extension for groupoid actions as considered in [9].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his(her) helpful comments.

References

[1] F. Abadie, *Enveloping actions and Takai duality for partial actions*, J. Funct. Analysis 197 (2003), 14-67.

[2] F. Abadie, *On partial actions and groupoids*, Proc. AMS 132 (2003), 1037-1047.

[3] B. Abadie, S. Eilers and R. Exel, *Morita equivalence and crossed products by Hilbert $C^\star$-bimodules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 8, 3043-3054.

[4] M.F. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1969.

[5] J. Ávila Guzmán and J. Lazzarin, *A Morita context related to finite groups acting partially on a ring*, Algebra Discrete Math. 3 (2009), 49-60.

[6] D. Bagio, *Partial actions of inductive groupoids on rings*, to appear in Intern. J. Game Theory Algebra, (2011).

[7] D. Bagio, D. Flôres and A. Paques, *Partial actions of ordered groupoids on rings*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), 501-517.

[8] D. Bagio, J. Lazzarin and A. Paques, *Crossed products by twisted partial actions: separability, semisimplicity and Frobenius properties*, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), 496-508.

[9] S. Caenepeel and E. De Groot, *Galois theory for weak Hopf algebras*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 52 (2007), 151-176.

[10] S. Chase, D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. AMS 52 (1968), 1-19.

[11] M. Dokuchaev, *Partial actions: a survey*, Contemporary Math. 537 (2011), 173-184.

[12] M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel, *Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations*, Trans. AMS 357 (2005), 1931-1952.

[13] M. Dokuchaev, M. Ferrero and A. Paques, *Partial actions and Galois theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208 (2007), 77-87.

[14] R. Exel, *Circle actions on $C^\star$-algebras, partial automorphisms and generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences*, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 361-401.

[15] R. Exel, *Partial actions of groups and actions of semigroups*, Proc. AMS 126 (1998), 3481-3494.

[16] D. Flôres, Ação de Grupóides sobre Álgebras: Teoremas de Estrutura, PhD Thesis, UFRGS, Brazil, 2011.

[17] N.D. Gilbert, *Actions and expansions of ordered groupoids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 198 (2005), 175-195.

[18] M.V. Lawson, *Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries*, World Scientific Pub. Co, London, 1998.

[19] K. McClanahan, *K-theory for partial crossed products by discrete groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 77-117.

[20] L. H. Rowen, *Ring Theory - Student edition*, Academic Press, 1991.

[21] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach Sc. Pub., 1991.